Trinity County Resource Conservation District

Comments submitted by the OHMVR Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1a The dates should match the reference document in 1c.
- #2 Map with OHV Recreational opportunities was not found on the Land Manager's website. Applicant may want to verify.
- #4 Selection appears to be incorrect as the Land Manager was funded during the 2008-2009 grant cycle.
- #5 Selection is incorrect, applicant is a first time applicant.
- #8 Narrative does not support the response to items 8a through d. Applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #9 Selections do not support information found on the Land Manager's website as referenced.
- #12 Applicant may wish to verify selection with the Land Manager.

Ground Operations – South Fork Trinity River

G09-07-02-G01

Project Description

No comment

Project Cost Estimate

- #4 Applicant may want to provide more detail in the "Equipment Rental" category.
- #5 Other (Truck) Applicant may want to provide more detail with regard to the purchase. Cost appears to be a duplicate of one in Restoration project.
- #7 Indirect costs cannot exceed 10% of grant request.

Evaluation Criteria

 #2 – Narrative does not support response with regard to "Additional damage to Facilities" and "Special Status Species". Applicant may want to provide additional details.

- #3 Narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #5 Narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to provide additional details.

Planning – South Fork Trinity River Watershed

G09-07-02-P01

Project Description

- #B Narrative does not support the selection title. Applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #C Maintenance activities are not allowable under a planning grant. Applicant may want to verify and adjust as necessary.

Project Cost Estimate

• #7 – Indirect costs cannot exceed 10% of grant request.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 Narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #7 Narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #8 A reference document is required. Applicant may want to provide the reference document.

Restoration – South Fork Trinity River Watershed Road Decommission

G09-07-02-R01

Project Description

 #F – Narrative does not apply. Applicant may want to verify and adjust as necessary.

Project Cost Estimate

- #3 Applicant may want to provide more detail on GPS rental line item.
- #5 Other (Truck) Applicant may want to provide more detail with regard to the purchase. Cost appears to be a duplicate of one in Planning project.
- #6 Postage appears excessive and/or not directly related to project.
- #7 Indirect costs cannot exceed 10% of grant request.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 Narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #7 Narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to provide additional details.

